

Book Notes #205

July 2025

By Jefferson Scholar-in-Residence Dr. Andrew Roth



A "Mini" Note: T.S. Eliot and the Question of Time



As I relayed in the July 17, 2025, installment of **Book Notes**, for the past several months, with two or three exceptions, the JES has been running "Classic" Book Notes as I completed a short book on leadership that we plan to release later this year. Although, when I wrote that, I was about to be at the Chautauqua Institution delivering a series of presentations on the future of the American Experiment, I thought it was time for some fresh material.

Noting that of all the **Book Notes**, those that generate the most animated reader response are not about history or contemporary events but poetry, one of my editors, Ben Speggen, suggested that I occasionally write a "mini" **Book Note** highlighting a poet I particularly admire.

Last week, I focused on two poems by Louise Glück (pronounced "Glick"); here, I want to turn our attention to T.S. Eliot's "Four Quartets."

__

Do you believe in the future? If yes, then it must be a destination to which we are *now* (in the *present*) traveling. If so, then where is the future *now*? For that matter, what is *now* – what is the *present*? And how are either different from the *past*? Is it possible that *now*, the *present*, is merely a synapse in which the *past* and the *future* interact?

As Eliot says,

from Burnt Norton

Time present and time past Are both perhaps present in time future, And time future contained in time past. [1]

The *present* a synapse that fires so quickly that if one is not paying the closest, the most mindful attention, one misses it. Again, as Eliot says,

from Burnt Norton

Footfalls echo in the memory Down the passage which we did not take Towards the door we never opened Into the rose-garden. [2]

Not missing it — *opening the door* — is the entire point of mindfulness, of being **present-to-the-present**, the importance of which in poetry I have written about before. Helping us mere laypeople be **present-to-the-present** is, from my aesthetic perspective, the entire purpose of art. Others may disagree, which is fine, although the resultant confusion might not be fine for, again, as Eliot says quoting Heraclitus, "Though the logos (the word) is common to all, most people live as if they have a wisdom of their own possession." [3] Eliot was not a post-modern who rejected "truth;" he sought "the Truth" within the many truths.

It is not possible in a "mini" note to say anything definitive about Eliot's "Four Quartets," a poetic sequence containing four long poems: "Burnt Norton," "East Coker," "The Dry Salvages," and "Little Gidding" — each of which is a physical

location at which Eliot spent time. Burnt Norton, a manor house; East Coker, an English village at which Eliot's ashes are interred in a local chapel; the Dry Salvages, a rock formation in the sea off the coast of Cape Ann in Massachusetts; and Little Gidding, a small Anglican community in Cambridgeshire, England. Taken together, the four poems are usually understood to metaphorically trace Eliot's spiritual journey as he sought to understand his (and by extension humanity's) relationship to time, the universe, and the divine.

Note I said "usually understood...," for I take a slightly different tack. I think Eliot sought through his journeys, both meditative and actual, to understand himself, the life he lived, and that life's relationship to time, to the places and the people where and with whom it was lived, and ultimately to himself and his memories of those people, places, and times. I think Eliot understood Louise Glück's comment in "Nostos." In ancient Greek literature, "Nostos" is a common theme about an epic hero's return home. In *The Odyssey*, think of Odysseus' 20-year journey returning home by sea to Ithaca and the ever-patient Penelope. Eliot seeks to return to the beginning – the *past* — in order to understand the *present*.

from Nostos

We look at the world once, in childhood. The rest is memory. [4]

And, understanding Glück, Eliot, like Vladimir Nabokov, who titled his autobiography *Speak*, *Memory* (the comma is important), sought to understand the *past* by understanding the *present* and what it portended for the *future*. For it is only by understanding the present (*now*) that one can understand the *past*. Eliot said it better,

from Little Gidding

We shall not cease from exploration And the end of all our exploring Will be to arrive where we started And know the place for the first time. [5]

In that moment, Eliot the devout Christian found transcendence in the Holy Ghost's cleansing fire when, as he writes in Little Gidding:

All manner of thing shall be well When the tongues of flame are in-folded Into the crowned knot of fire And the fire and the rose are one. [6] Others have found in Eliot a more Peggy Lee – "Is that all there is..." – insight as did Gilgamesh, King of Uruk, hero of the oldest known human story: the Sumerian *Epic of Gilgamesh*.

After the death of his closest confidant, Enkidu, in his grief Gilgamesh renounces everything as worthless. He embarks on a quest seeking to understand death and the meaning of life. He travels to the ends of the known world seeking the meaning of death and his own looming mortality. He asks the woman at the bar at the end of the world the meaning of life. She tells him this is it; this is all there is. He learns life *is* its own meaning. With that veil lifted, he sees the world for the first time; returning home, he *sees* Uruk for the first time:

from Gilgamesh

This is

The wall of Uruk, which no city on earth can equal. See how its ramparts gleam like copper in the sun. Climb the stone staircase, more ancient than the mind can imagine... [7] Which vision is correct?

Eliot's or Gilgamesh's?

It's the oldest question in the world.

Maybe the answer is both.

In any event, the insight common to both is that we can only understand ourselves by understanding from whence we came . And we can only understand from whence we came and the journey we took by understanding what we became, for:

Time present and time past Are both perhaps present in time future, And time future contained in time past.



-- Andrew Roth, Ph.D. Scholar-in-Residence The Jefferson Educational Society roth@jeserie.org

This content is copyrighted by the Jefferson 2022.

Photo Credits

"T S Elliot – Mar 1923 Shadowland.jpg" at **Wikimedia Commons** available at <u>File:T S Elliot - Mar 1923 Shadowland.jpg - Wikimedia Commons</u> accessed July 9, 2025.

End Notes

- 1. Eliot, T.S., "Burnt Norton," in T.S. Eliot The Complete Poems and Plays 1909-1950 (New York: Harcourt Brace and Jovanovich, 1971), p. 117.
- 2. Ibid.
- 3. Ibid.
- 4. Glück, Louise, "Nostos," in **Louise Glück Poems 1962-2012** (New York: Farrar Straus and Giroux, 2012), p. 342.
- 5. Eliot, T.S., "Little Gidding," in **T.S. Eliot The Complete Poems and Plays 1909-1950** (New York: Harcourt Brace and Jovanovich, 1971), p. 145.
- 6. Ibid.
- 7. Mitchell, Stephen, tr. Gilgamesh (New York: The Free Press, 2004), p. 198.

Subscribe to JES Publications

Mailing List!

Support JES | Donate

• • •

In Case You Missed It

Book Notes #204 | A "Mini" Note: Two Poems by Louise Glück written by Jefferson Scholar-in-Residence Dr. Andrew Roth

<u>Death of the Daily Newspaper | Read between the lines: How local journalism addresses loneliness and polarization</u> written by Chloe Forbes

Men in Crisis | Can Manufacturing Revive Men's Search for Meaning? written by Jeff Bloodworth

On the Waterfront | Home Expectations: From the 1950s to Now written by Jefferson Scholar-in-Residence Dr. David Frew

<u>'I've Seen This Before' | What Failed Democratization Can Teach Us</u> About Democratic Erosion written by Lena Surzhko-Harned

<u>The Wider World | Power - Hard, Soft, Smart?</u> written by Jefferson Scholar-in-Residence **Diane Chido**

<u>Truth in Love | Rosa Parks: Resolute Leader America Needed</u> written by Jefferson Scholar-in-Residence **Dr. Parris Baker**

JES Mission: The Jefferson was founded to stimulate community progress through education, research, and publications. Its mission also includes a commitment to operate in a nonpartisan, nondenominational manner without a political or philosophical bias. As such, the Jefferson intends to follow the examined truth wherever it leads and is neither liberal nor conservative, Democratic nor Republican in philosophy or action. Our writers' work reflects their own views.



Jefferson Educational Society | jeserie.org







